RESEARCH ARTICLE

www.ijapc.com

e-ISSN 2350-0204

A Clinical Study of *Matra Basti* and *Patrapinda Swedana* in the Management of *Sandhigata Vata* (Osteoarthritis)

Nilesh Bhatt¹, Shital Bhagiya^{2*}, A.B. Thakar³ and Rajdeep Rao⁴

1,2,3,4 Panchkarma Physician, Dept. of Panchkarma, IPGT & RA, Jamnagar, Gujarat, India

Abstract

Osteoarthritis is the most common articular disorder that got begunafter 30 years of age and is common by age 70 years. Arthritis limits everyday activities such as walking, dressing, bathing etc., thus making individual handicapped. No treatment is available that can prevent, reverse or block the diseases process. Researchers are looking for drugs that would prevent, slow down or reverse joint damage. Aacharya Charaka has mentioned common treatment for Vatavyadhi i.e., repeated use of Snehana and Svedana, Basti and Mridu Virechana. The present study is a humble effort in search of cure of the disease Sandhigatavata. Basti is selected for the present study as it is shown best for the treatment of Vatavyadhi. Here Matra Basti was given with Bala Taila. In other group Patrapinda Swedana was taken for study. Highly significant results were found in almost all the parameters of Sandhigatavata.

Keywords

MatraBasti, PatrapindaSwedana, Sandhigatavata, Vatavyadhi



Received 21/06/16 Accepted 23/07/16 Published 10/07/16

INTRODUCTION

Vatavyadhi is a group of vatic disorders. *AcaryaCaraka* has mentioned NanatmajaVyadhis of Vata, Pitta and Kapha¹ but, a separate chapter has been contributed to Vatavyadhi². Sandhigatavata is one among the Vatavyadhis. But, it is not 80 included in types Nanatmajavatavikaras³. Though SandhigataVata cripples a large number of persons, it rarely kills any person. Because of the tendency to cripple it stands at the head of the list of chronic diseases from the socio-economic standpoint. In the post-vedic era, AcaryaCaraka refers the disease chapter *'SandhigataAnila'* in the Vatavyadhicikitsa. He has not included the disease NanatmajaVatavikaras⁴.AcaryaSusruta mentioned the disease in the chapter of Vatavyadhi and the first one to propose the line of treatment⁵.

According to modern point of view it is called Osteoarthritis and is most common joint disease of humans. It is also called as degenerative joint disease⁶. Risk factors include genetics, sex, past trauma, advancing age and obesity⁷. Metabolic and degenerative disease of connective tissue and joint problem are quite affluent today⁸.

During different stages of life diseases prevalence is common due to genetic, infection, systemic, environmental age related and so on⁹. Osteoarthritis represents failure of a diarthrodial joint. To a greater or lesser extent, it is always characterized by both proliferation of new bone cartilage and connective tissues¹⁰.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

- To study the aetiopathogenesis of *Sandhivata* with *Ayurvedic* as well as Modern focus.
- To observe the effect of *MatraBasti* in *Sandhivata*.
- To observe the effect of *PatrapindaSweda* in *Sandhivata*.
- To compare efficacy of *MatraBasti* and *PatrapindaSwedana* with control drug.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

• For this study 37 patients of *Sandhivata* fulfilling the criteria of diagnosis were registered irrespective of their age, sex, religion, etc.

Criteria for Diagnosis:

• Patients having signs and symptoms of *Sandhivata* as described in Ayurvedic texts were selected for the clinical trial.

- Detailed history was taken and physical examination was done.
- The routine hematological, urine and stool examination were carried out in selected patients to exclude any other pathology, for differential diagnosis R.A. factor was also taken.
- The diagnosis was confirmed by X-ray examination of the involved joint wherever possible.

Inclusion Criteria:

- Patients between the age of 30 years to 60 years and both sexes were included for the study
- Patients who were ready to give informed consent

Exclusion Criteria:

- Age: below 30 years and above 60 years.
- Pregnancy and lactation
- Patients suffering from DM, HTN, Heart diseases, renal pathology, Rheumatoid arthritis, Carcinoma.

Table 1 Contents of RalaTailaner 100 ml

Assessment Parameters:
Subjective parameters

- Sandhishula
- Sandhishotha
- AkunchanPrasaranayoVedana
- SandhiSphutana
- Sparsha-asahyata
- VatapurnaDritisparsh
- Sandhigraha

Objective parameters

• Joint examination i.e., flexion, SLR etc.

Groups and posology:

Group A: Matrabasti and Yogarajguggulu

The patients of this group were administered MatraBasti

Drug: BalaTaila[Table 1]

Dose: 60 ml once a day

Duration: 21 days with three days interval.

YogarajGuggulu[Table 2] was given in the dose of three tablets twice a day for 30 days.

Table 1 contents of Buttatutaper 100 his									
Sr.No.	Drug	Family	Latin name	Part	gm/ ml				
1	Bala	Malvaceae	Sidacordifolia	1	25				
2	Tilataila	Pedaliaceae	Sesame indicum	4	100				

Table 2 Drugs used in *YogarajGuggulu* (500 mg Tablet)

Sr. No.	Drug	Family	Latin Name	Part
1	Chitraka	Pumbaginacea	Plumbagozylenica	9.25 mg
2	Pippali	Piperaceae	Piper lingum	9.25 mg
3	Yavani	Umbeliferae	Trachispermamamami	9.25 mg
4	Krishna jiraka	Umbeliferae	Carumbulbocartanum	9.25 mg

5	Vidanga	Myrsanaceae	Embaliaribes	9.25 mg
6	Ajmoda	Umbeliferae	Carumroxburgnianum	9.25 mg
7	Swetajiraka	Umbeliferae	Cuminumcuminum	9.25 mg
8	Devdaru	Coniferae	Cedrusdeodara	9.25 mg
9	Chavya	Piperaceae	Piper retrofractum	9.25 mg
10	Ela	Sciataminaceae	Eleteriacardomomum	9.25 mg
11	Saindhava	-	Rock Salt	9.25 mg
12	Kustha	Compositae	Soussurealuppa	9.25 mg
13	Rasna	Compositae	Pluchealanceolata	9.25 mg
14	Gokshura	Zygophyllaceae	Tribulusterestris	9.25 mg
15	Dhanyaka	Umbeliferae	Coriandrumsativam	9.25 mg
16	Haritaki	Combritaceae	Terminaliachebula	9.25 mg
17	Bibhitaki	Combritaceae	Terminaliabelerica	9.25 mg
18	Amalaki	Euphorbiaceae	Embelicaofficinalis	9.25 mg
19	Mustaka	Cyperaceae	Cyprus rotondas	9.25 mg
20	Sunthi	Scitaminaceae	Zingiberofficinale	9.25 mg
21	Maricha	Piperaceae	Piper nigra	9.25 mg
22	Pippali	Piperaceae	Piper longum	9.25 mg
23	Dalachini	Lauranceae	Cinnamonumzeylonica	9.25 mg
24	Ushira	Gramini	Endropogonmuricatuo	9.25 mg
25	Yavakshar	-	-	9.25 mg
26	Talishapatra	Conferee	Abieswebbina	9.25 mg
27	Tejpatra	Lauranceae		9.25 mg
28	Guggulu	Burseraceae	Commiphoramukul	250 mg
29	Ghrita	-	Ghee	Sufficcient Quantity

Group B: Patrapindaswedana And

Yogarajguggulu

The patients of this group were given Patrapindaswedana 21 days, Abhyang and Swedana was done on the affected Sandhi for 10 minutes.

YogarajGugguluwas given in the dose of three tablets twice a day for 30 days.

Assessment of Total Effect of Therapy

- 1. < 25% Relief- Unchanged.
- 2. 25-50% Relief- Mild improvement.
- 3. 50-75% Relief- Moderate improvement.
- 4. >75% Relief- Marked improvement.
- 5.100 % Relief- Complete remission.

Statistical analysis:

Student's "t" test (paired and unpaired) was applied for assessment of the results.

RESULTS

In Group A highly significant results wereobtained in all the cardinal symptoms (P <0.001).Percentage of relief was in Sandhishula 62%, in Sandhishotha 61.53%, AkunchanaPrasaranayohvedana58.47%, in SandhiSphutana 60%, in Sparshaasahyata 86.36%, Sandhigrah 50% and in VatapurnaDritisparsa 85%.

In group B highly significant results were obtained in all the cardinal symptoms (P <0.001). Percentage of relief in

Sandhishulawas 60%, in Sandhishotha 64%, in SandhiSphutana 64.28%, in Sparshaasahyata 86.36%. Significant relief (P<0.05) was found in AkunchanaPrasaranayohvedana 61.11% and in VatapurnaDritisparsa 80% [Table 3].

In Group A relief in left knee joint flexion was found highly significant. Relief was found in hip joint flexion. Percentage relief obtained was knee joint flexion Lt.6.25%,

Rt. 4.74%, Hip join flexion Lt. 6.61%, Rt. 4.28%, Rt 10%. In group B, Relief in knee joint flexion was highly significant improvement in S.L.R. was significant. Improvement in hip joint flexion (Right leg) was significant and it was highly significant to left hip joint. Percentage relief found in knee joint flexion Lt. was 9.30%, in right knee joint flexion was 9.92%. Hip joint flexion Lt. 7.14%, Rt. 5.57%, 14.86% was found. [Table 4]

Table 3 Effect of therapy on cardinal symptoms

Cardinal Symptoms	C	Mean		%	CD.	CT.	4	D	C
Cardinal Symptoms	Gr	BT	AT	Relief	SD±	SE±	t	P	S
Sandhishula	A	2.5	0.95	62	0.75	0.16	9.13	< 0.001	HS
Sananishuu	В	3	0.9	60	.63	0.2	9	< 0.001	HS
Sandhishotha	A	2.6	1	61.53	0.50	0.11	14.23	< 0.001	HS
Sananisnoina	В	2.5	0.9	64	0.51	0.16	9.79	< 0.001	HS
AkunchanPrasaranayoVedan	A	1.9	0.75	58.47	0.36	0.08	1.35	>0.05	HS
a	В	1.8	0.7	61.11	0.31	0.1	11	< 0.001	S
Can dhiCahatana	A	1.25	0.5	60	0.71	0.16	4.68	< 0.001	HS
SandhiSphutana	В	1.4	0.5	64.28	0.73	0.23	3.85	< 0.01	HS
C	A	1.1	0.15	86.36	0.39	0.08	10.78	< 0.001	HS
Sparsha-asahyata	В	1.1	0.1	90.90	0.47	0.14	6.79	< 0.001	HS
V-4	A	0.1	0.15	85	0.36	0.08	10.37	< 0.001	HS
VatapurnaDritisparsh	В	1	0.2	80	0.42	0.13	0	>0.05	HS
C	A	1.7	0.86	50	0.36	0.08	0.37	>0.05	HS
Sandhigraha	В	1.6	1	37.5	0.51	0.16	3.67	< 0.01	HS

Table 4 Effect of therapy on Joint Examination

Joint Examination	Gr	Mean	<u>%</u>		SD±	SE±	4	D	C
JUHIL EXAIIIIIIALIUII		BT	AT	Relief	SDE	SEE	ı	Г	<u> </u>
Knee joint flexion									
Left	A	120	127.5	6.25	4.44	0.99	7.54	< 0.001	HS
	В	117.27	128.18	9.30	5.39	1.62	6.70	< 0.001	HS
Right	A	116	121.5	4.74	12.34	2.76	1.99	>0.05	HS

	В	119.09	130.9	9.92	6.03	1.81	6.5	< 0.001	HS
Hip Joint Flexion									
Loft	Α	128.5	137	6.61	3.66	0.81	10.37	< 0.001	HS
Left	В	126	135	7.14	3.16	1	9	< 0.001	S
Right	A	128.5	134	4.28	5.59	1.69	3.24	< 0.01	HS
	В	127	134	5.57	6.74	2.13	3.27	< 0.05	HS

Relief found in S.L.R. (Right leg)13.51% was significant while S.L.R.(Left leg)18.21%, was highly significant.[**Table 5**] Overall effect of therapy, complete remission found 75% in Group A and 40%

in Group B while marked improvement found 25% in Group A and 60% in Group B[Table 6].

Table 5 Effect of therapy on SLR

S.L.R.									
Left	A	71.9	85	-18.21	17	3.80	-3.44	< 0.01	HS
	В	74	84	13.51	4.71	1.49	6.70	< 0.001	HS
Right	A	75	82.5	10	5.50	1.23	6.09	< 0.001	HS
	В	74	85	14.86	3.16	1	11	< 0.001	HS

Table 6Overall effect of therapy in both Groups

Result	Group A	%	Group B	%	Total no. of patients	Total %
Complete remission	15	75	4	40	19	63.33
Marked improvement	5	25	6	60	1	36.66
Moderate improvement	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mild improvement	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unchanged	-	-	-	-	-	-

DISCUSSION

According to Ayurveda, SampraptiVighatana is Chikitsa. In the disease SandhigataVata, Vataprakopa and Khavaigunya i.e.,RiktaStrotasa in Asthi-Sandhi are the main factors which leads then samprapti of the disease 11. Basti is the best treatment for Vata as told by AcharyaCharaka 12. Basti drugs first reach to the Pakvashaya. It is mulasthana for

Vatashodhana. Basti, by destroying Vatadosha gets control on Vata all over body¹³. *Pakvashaya* is the site of Purishadharakala. Commentator Dalhana said that Purishadhara and same¹⁴. Asthidharakala and are one Therefore, Basti drugs directly acts on Purishadharakala ultimately acting on Asthidharakala. After passing Pakvashaya, Basti drugs reach to Grahani which is the

site of Pittadharakala. Here Dalhana has said Pittadharakala and Majjadharakala are one and same 15. So Basti has direct effect on Asthi and Majjadharakala and it may nourishes the Asthi and Majjadhatu. This, through Basti we achieve Vatadoshashamana and relief in by Sandhigatavata breaking the samprapti¹⁶.Balataila is best shamana for Vatadosha. It is ushna, tikshna, sukshma and snighdha¹⁷. In Osteoarthritis, there is disruption of glue which binds the cells of the cartilage damage takes place. Taila by its qualities provide glue which binds the cartilage cells. Nirgundi has Ushnavirya, Vatakaphashamaka and Shulaharaproperties¹⁸.Patrapindaswedana helps to ease the pain, relax muscles, activate local metabolic process, increases blood flow and also increases absorption of sneha through skin which helps Osteoarthritis. Most of Ingredients of YogarajaGuggulu hasKaturasa, ushnavirya, Katuvipaka, Vata-kaphaShamaka, Deepana, Shulahara Pachana, and Shothaghnaproperties¹⁹ which also help in Osteoarthritis.

Vata predominant prakruti humans are more prone to Sandhigatavata (Osteoartritis).In the present study it was observed that Matrabasti provided better relief in the amelioration of signs and symptoms in comparison to Patrapindaswedana.

CONCLUSION

REFERENCES

- 1. Dwivedi LD, Editor, CharakaSamhita of Agnivesha, Charaka Samhita Sutra Sthana, Ch.20, Ver.10, Reprint Edition, Varanasi: Chaukhamba krishnadas Acadamy, 2013;393p.
- 2. Dwivedi LD, Editor, Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha ,Charaka Samhita Chikitsasthana, Ch.28, Ver.1, Reprint Edition, Varanasi:Chaukhamba krishnadas Acadamy, 2013.
- 3. Dwivedi LD, Editor ,Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha, CharakaSamhita Chikitsasthana, Ch.20, Ver.11, Reprint Edition, Varanasi:Chaukhamba krishnadasAcadamy, 2013; 394p.
- 4. Dwivedi LD, Editor, CharakaSamhita of Agnivesha, CharakaSamhita Chikitsasthana, Ch.28, Ver.37, Reprint Edition, Varanasi:Chaukhamba krishnadas Acadamy, 2013.
- 5. Acharya JT (Editor). Nibandhasamgraha Commentry of Dalhanacharya on SushrutaSamhita of Sushruta, Nidanasthana chapter 1, verse no.28, 9th edition, Varanasi; Chaukhamba Orientalia, 2007:261 p.
- 6. Boon Nicholas, Davidson's Principle's and Practice of Medicine, 20th

- editions, Elsevier Publication: 2006; 1096-1100p.
- 7. Savarkar GR, Suple YV. Prevention and Management of Osteoarthritis. Int. J. Res. Ayurveda Pharm. 2013; 4(3): 454-458p.
- 8. Mohan Harsh, Text book of Pathology, 4th Edition, Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers, New Delhi: 2000; 832p.
- 9. Keith Sinusas MD, Middlesex Hospital, Middle Town, Connecticut Am Fam Physician. 2012, 85(1); 49p.
- 10. Das Krishna K.V. Textbook of Medicine, 5th edition, Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers. New Delhi: 2000; 732p.
- 11. Shashtri AD, Editor,SushrutaSamhita of Sushruta, Part 1,Sutrasthana Ch.21, Ver.31, Reprint Edition, Varanasi: ChaukhambhaSanskritaSansthana, 2012;120p.
- 12. Kushwaha HC, editor, Charaksamhita of Agnivesha, Siddhisthana, Part 2, Ch.1, Ver.40, Reprint Edition, Varanasi: Chowkhambha Orientalia; 2012;953p.
- 13. Kushwaha HC, editor, Charaksamhita of Agnivesha, Siddhisthana, Part 2, Ch.1, Ver.40, Reprint Edition, Varanasi: ChowkhambhaOrientalia; 2012; 954p.

- 14. Shashtri AD, Editor, Sushruta Samhita of Sushruta, Part 1, Sharirasthana Ch.4, Ver.16, Reprint Edition, Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrita Sansthana, 2012; 40p.
- 15. Shashtri AD, Editor, SushrutaSamhita of Sushruta, Part 1, Sharirasthana Ch.4, Ver.18, Reprint Edition, Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrita Sansthana, 2012; 40p.
- 16. Kushwaha HC, editor, Charaksamhita of Agnivesha, Sutrasthana, Part 1, Ch.28, Ver.27, Reprint Edition, Varanasi: Chowkhambha Orientalia; 2012; 477p.
- 17. Misra B, Vaisya R, editors,Bhavaprakash of Bhavmishra, Nigthantu Portion, Guduchyadivarga , First Part, 11th Edition. Varanasi:Chaukhamba Sanskrit Bhawan,2007;varga 366p
- 18. Misra B, Vaisya R, editors,Bhavaprakash of Bhavmishra, Nigthantu Portion, Guduchyadivarga, First Part, 11th Edition. Varanasi:Chaukhamba Sanskrit Bhawan,2007;344p.
- 19. Mishra BS, Shashtri RD, Editors,BhaishajyaRatnavali of Govind Das, Ch.21, verse 161. Reprint Edition, Varanasi:ChaukhambhaPrakashan, 2013; 625p.