## **REVIEW ARTICLE**

## Comparative Pharmaceutical and Analytical Study of *Manasheeladi Vati* Prepared from Two Different Methods of *Manasheela Shodhan*

P.J.Bandwal<sup>1\*</sup>, Yugandhara Misal<sup>2</sup> and S.L.Thakur<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,3</sup>Dept. of Rasashastra & Bhaisajyakalpana, S.V.N.H.T's Ayurvedic College, Rahuri, Dist. Ahmednagar, Maharashtra, India

<sup>2</sup>G.S. Gune College, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra, India

## Abstract

*Manasheela* (realgar, arsenic disulphide) has been used in ayurvedic medicine since ancient times for the treatment of conditions such as skin diseases, cough, asthma, certain eye diseases and psychological disorders. *Shodhana* (purification) is an integral part of ayurvedic processing especially for poisonous substances before they can be used for therapeutic purposes. In the case of *Manasheela*, which contain the heavy metal arsenic, it can be purified by two ways. One by carrying out seven levigations (*Bhavana*) of Zinziber officinalis Roscoe (*Ardraka*) juice and other by keeping it for three days in lime water (*Churnodaka*).*Ashodhita Manasheela* (unpurified realgar), *Ardraka Shodhita Manasheela* (realgar purified with ginger juice) and *Churnodaka Shodhita Manasheela* (realgar purified with lime water) were investigated by examination of the relevant physico – chemical parameters, quantitative elemental analysis, including the percentage of arsenic using atomic absorption spectrometry. As analytical parameter shows very minimal difference in both type of *Shodhana*, so both type of *ManasheeladiVati* are analytically equal.

## Keywords

ManasheeladiVati, Arsenic, Shodhana, Churnodaka



Received 17/06/16 Accepted 03/08/16 Published 10/09/16

## INTRODUCTION

*"ManasheeladiVati"* is a combination of *Uprasa* and herbal medicines. When drug manufacturing is talked about or when a work is carried with regard to drug designing it has to follow good Rasashastra practice, which will be incomplete if suitable up to date advanced integrated instrumental and technological, analytical standardization work is not under taken. Hence in the present study manufactured drug is taken for its basic evaluation protocols.

In present study it was decided to compare physico-chemical changes in *ManasheeladiVati* prepared by two different methods of *shodhan* at intermediate and end stage of formulation.

## AIM

Comparative Pharmaceutical and Analytical Study of *ManasheeladiVati* prepared from two different methods of *Manasheela Shodhan*.

## **OBJECTIVES**

To identify and authentify raw materials and to carry out their *shodhan* required in preparation of *ManasheeladiVati*. • To prepare *ManasheeladiVati* using *Aardrak Swaras shodhita Manasheela* and its standardization.

• To prepare *Manasheeladivati* using *Churnodaka shodhita Manasheela* and its standardization.

• Analytical testing of *ManasheeladiVati* prepared from two Different Methods of *Manasheela Shodhan*.

• To compare the analytical findings of *ManasheeladiVati* prepared from two methods of *Manasheela shodhan*.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study pertaining to the science art of conversion of raw drugs into a potent medicament by various classical processes is called Pharmaceutical study.

Aim: To prepare ManasheeladiVati.

## **Objectives:**

- Collection of the raw drugs of *ManasheeladiVati*.
- Processing of raw drugs of *ManasheeladiVati*.
- Preparation of ManasheeladiVati.

Materials: Manasheela, Kushta, Karanjbeej, Shirishbeej, Kumkum, Jal, ArdrakSwarasa, Churnodaka, Khalvayantra etc.

Pharmaceutical Study and Observations:-

Pharmaceutical Study of *ManasheeladiVati* is designed in 4 Steps as below:

**Step 1-** Collection of Raw drugs used in the preparation of *ManasheeladiVati*.

**Step 2-** Preparation of *ArdrakSwarasa* and *Churnodaka*.

Step 3 - Shodhana of Manasheela.

Step 4 - Preparation of ManasheeladiVati.

## ANALYTICAL STUDY

. Analytical tests are divided in 3 steps -

- Raw material study
- In process study
- Final product study
- Raw material study-

It includes Ashuddha Manasheela, Kushtha, Karanjabeej, Shirishabeej and Kumkum.

- By Ayurvedic Method (Table1)
- By Modern Analytical Tools (Table2)

# Chromatographic analysis using thin layer chromatography-

- *Karanjabeej* 0.19, 0.38.
- *Shirishabeej* 0.09, 0.21.
- *Kushtha* 0.21, 0.39, 0.50.

#### 2. In Process Study

It includes Ardrak swarasa Shodhita Manasheela, Churnodaka Shodhita Manasheela (Table 3) Table 2 Modern Parameters

#### Bandwal *et al.* Int J Ayu Pharm Chem 2016 Vol. 5 Issue 2 [e ISSN 2350-0204]

### 3. Final Product Study-

It includes Ardrak swarasa Shodhita Manasheeladi Vati, Churnodaka Shodhita Manasheeladi Vati.

- By Ayurvedic Method (Table 4)
- By Modern Analytical Tools (Table 5)

**Table 1** Organoleptic characters of all the ingredients

Sr.	Samples	Rupa	Rasa	Gandha
INO				
1	Ashuddha	Reddish	Katu,	Not
	Manasheel	yellow	Tikta	specific
	а			
2	Kushtha	Dark	Tikta,	Odourles
		Brown	Katu,	SS
			Madhur	
			а	
3	Karanjabe	Slightly	Tikta,	Not
	ej	chocolat	Kashay	specific
		у	а	
4	Shirishabe	Slightly	Kashay	Not
	ej	brownis	a, Tikta,	Specific
		h grey	Madhur	
			а	
5	Kumkum	Slightly	Katu,	Pleasant
		Reddish	Tikta	
		Orange		

## DISCUSSION

Analysis of the drug is necessary to know the physico – chemical, macro and micro properties and to confirm the safety & efficacy of the drug.

Sr	Para-meter	Kushta	Karanjbeej	Shirishabeej	Kumkum
1	Appear-ance	Dark brown colour	Slightly chocolaty color seed	Slightly brownish grey color	Slightly reddish orange petals
2	Odor/	Odorless/	Indistinct/	Indistinct/	Pleasant/
	Taste	tasteless	Characterist-ics	bitter	slightly sweet
3	Moisture Content	6.13%	1.04%	0.32%	5.34%
4	pН	-	5.90	5.68	-
5	Ash Content	8.87%	2.86%	3.06%	6.38%
6	Acid Insoluble Ash	3.95%	<0.1%	0.14%	<0.1%
7	Water Soluble Extractive	5.32%	19.37%	14.39%	_
8	Alcohol Soluble Extractive	15.86%	24.18%	9.64%	_
9	Fat Content	_	_	_	4.98%
10	Fiber Content	_	_	_	6.34%
11	Protein Content	_	_	_	9.22%

#### **Table 3** In process analysis

Sr. No	Parameter	AshodhitaManas heela	ArdrakSwarasaShodhitaManas heela	ChurnodakaShodhitaManas heela
•				
1	Moisture	0.14%	0.19%	0.22%
	Content			
2	pН	7.01	7.00	6.94
3	Ash Content	6.29%	7.92%	8.10%
4	Acid	0.72%	0.40%	0.38%
	Insoluble			
	Ash			
5	Water	0.052%	0.067%	0.072%
	soluble Ash			
6	Arsenic	61.86%	62.74%	62.90%
	Content			
7	Sulphur	26.85%	28.12%	28.46%
	Content			

#### Table 4 Organoleptic characters of all the ingredients

Characters	ÂrdrakSwarasaShodhitaManasheeladiVati	Churnodaka Shodhita Manasheeladi Vati
Rupa	Slightly brown Creamy colour	Slightly brown Creamy colour
Rasa	Characteristic	Characteristic
Gandha	Astringent	Astringent

#### Table 5 Modern Parameters

Sr.	Parameter	ArurakSwarasaShoumtaManasheelauivau	ChurnouakaShoumtawanasheelauivati
No.			
1	Appearance	Slightly brown Creamy colour	Slightly brown Creamy colour
2	Odor/Taste	Astringent/Characteristic	Astringent/Characteristic
3	Moisture	2.88%	2.64%
	Content		
4	Ash Content	5.97%	6.09%
5	Acid	0.31%	0.33%
	Insoluble Ash		
6	Arsenic	58.11%	57.86%
	Content		
7	Sulphur	20.24%	19.97%
	Content		
8	Average	0.650 cm	0.654 cm
	Diameter		
9	Average	0.336 cm	0.290 cm
	Thickness		
10	Average	129.28 mg	129.33 mg
	Weight		
11	Friability	2.71%	2.94%
12	Hardness	<1kg/cm <sup>2</sup>	<1kg/cm <sup>2</sup>
13	Disintegration	2 min	2 min
	Time		

Parameter ArdrakSwarasaShodhitaManasheeladiVati ChurnodakaShodhitaManasheeladiVati

#### **Physicochemical analysis:**

#### Raw material study -

a

The Arsenic percentage in *Manasheela* was 61.86%, Sulphur in *Manasheela* was 26.85% it means that the selected raw material was of good quality. The other ingredients were within normal limits. Above results shows that raw material selected was authentic.

## In process study and Final product study:-

ArdrakShodhitaManasheeladiVatiwasfound to posses2.88 % w/w and ChurnodakaShodhitaManasheeladiVatiwas2.64%losson drying at $110^{0}$ C. Hence it can be statedthatChurnodakaShodhita

ManasheeladiVati possessed less moisture content than Ardrak Swarasa Shodhita ManasheeladiVati. So, has very rare chance of bacterial and fungal growth and also the drug is having least or nil hydroscopic activity and the drug deterioration chance or contaminations chances etc. are very less. The ingredient moisture content of crude is 0.14%, Ardrak Swarasa Shodhita Manasheela is 0.19% and Churnodaka Shodhita Manasheela is 0.22%. It means moisture content increases due to bhavna dravya.

The P<sup>H</sup> of crude was 7.01, *Ardrak Shodhita Manasheela* was 7.00 and *Churnodaka Shodhita Manasheela* was 6.94. The P<sup>H</sup> of Karanjabeej was 5.90 & Shirishabeej was ingredients 5.68. Among the of ManasheeladiVati Karanjabeej, Shirishabeej were within the acidic range. The  $P^{H}$  of Ashuddha Manasheela is alkaline. For Ardrak Swarasa Shodhita ManasheeladiVati it is 5.97% and for Churnodaka Shodhita ManasheeladiVati it 6.09% indicate which that this is herbomineral preparation contains less amount of inorganic constituents and more amount of (94.03%) and 93.91% respectively) of organic and bio human available particles. Hence it can be said that the prepared ManasheeladiVati along with its ingredients like Kushtha—8.49%, Karanjabeej—2.86%, Shirishbeej—3.06%, Kumkum-6.38%, are within the standard limits. Hence it can be said that Shodhan Converts inorganic constituent to organic and human acceptable form. The aim of shodhan is also completed. Kushtha-3.95%, Karanjabeej—<0.1%, Shirishbeej— 0.14%, Kumkum-<0.1% are within the predetermined range.

The water soluble extractive of *Kushtha*—5.32%, *Karanjabeej*—19.37%, *Shirishbeej*—14.39%, in the present study, are also within the predetermined range.

The alcohol soluble extractive of *Kushtha*— 15.86%, Karanjabeej-24.18%, Shirishbeej-9.64%, are also within the predetermined range. Kushtha (RF value) - 0.21, 0.39, 0.50 Karanjabeej (RF value) - 0.19, 0.38 Shirishabeej (RF value) - 0.09, 0.21 are found to be within standards limits. The total % of Arsenic in Ashuddha Manasheela was 61.86% and % of Sulphur 26.85%, Shodhana was after by Ardrakswarasa was 62.74% and 28.12%, by Churnodaka was 62.90% and 28.46% respectively. Ardrak Swarasa Shodhita ManasheeladiVati - 58.11% and 20.24%, Churnodaka Shodhita ManasheeladiVati -57.86% and 19.97% which may be due to the larger part of organic form. These inorganic constituents might have metamorphosed with organic herbal constituents. Hence it can be said that shodhan reduces the conc. of As, S. Hence the chances of mineral metallic toxicity in ManasheeladiVati are negligible and also these two chemicals are processed in purified form.

## CONCLUSION

• Percentage of Arsenic and Sulphur in *Shodhita Manasheela* is greater than

Ashodhit Manasheela due to removal of water soluble impurities.

• In *Shodhana* process, both *Ardrak Swarasa Shodhita Manasheela* and *Churnodaka Shodhita Manasheela* all the analytical parameters show very minimal difference.

As there is decrease in Ash value, Acid insoluble ash and increase in % of Arsenic and Sulphur in Ardrak Swarasa Shodhita ManasheeladiVati but has very minimal difference hence it can be concluded that ManasheeladiVati prepared from both type of *shodhan* are analytically equal but there is difference in time requirement and economical affordability of both the process. Less time required for shodhana of churnodaka shodhit Manasheeladivati in process loss is minimum.

## REFERENCES

Prof. Ravidutta Tripathi ,Charka Samhita
 Vol.I, Chaukhamba Surbharati Prakashan
 Varanasi, 2009: 447

2. Shri Vagbhattacharya, Rasa RatnaSamuchhaya, 8<sup>th</sup> edition, Chaukhamba Sanskrit Orientalia Varanasi, 371

 Shri Sadanand Sharma 2000, Rasa Tarangini, Reprint edition, Motilal Banarasi Das Publication Varanasi, 280

4. Shri Vagbhattacharya, Rasa RatnaSamuchhaya, 8<sup>th</sup> edition, Chaukhamba Sanskrit Orientalia Varanasi,35

 Shri Sadanand Sharma, Rasa Tarangini, Reprint edition, MotilalBanarasi Das Publication Varanasi, 261

6. Vd. Pandit Hariprapanna Sharma, Rasayogasagar,1<sup>st</sup> edition Vol.II,

Krishnadas Academy Varanasi,155